March 11, 2005

Ms. Rebecca Brewer Abernathy, Roeder, Boyd & Joplin, PC P.O. Box 1210 McKinney, Texas 75070-1210

OR2005-02095

Dear Ms. Brewer:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 219774.

The City of Melissa (the "city"), which you represent, received a request for (1) any written offer for the property that the city proposes to take under the future expansion of Melissa Road; (2) any written appraisal that the city may have with respect to the value of such property; and (3) a copy of the city's conceptual plans for the extension of Melissa Road. You claim that the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.103 of the Government Code. We have considered the exception you claim and reviewed the submitted information.

Initially, we note that you have only provided two appraisal reports for our review. See Gov't Code § 552.301(e). To the extent any additional responsive information existed on the date the city received this request, we assume you have released it to the requestor. If you have not released any such information, you must release it at this time. See Gov't Code §§552.301(a), .302; see also Open Records Decision No. 664 (2000) (if governmental body concludes that no exceptions apply to requested information, it must release information as soon as possible).

The submitted information consists of two completed appraisal reports, which are subject to section 552.022 of the Government Code. Section 552.022 provides in relevant part:

- (a) Without limiting the amount or kind of information that is public information under this chapter, the following categories of information are public information and not excepted from required disclosure under this chapter unless they are expressly confidential under other law:
 - (1) a completed report, audit, evaluation, or investigation made of, for, or by a governmental body, except as provided by Section 552.108;

Gov't Code § 552.022(a)(1). In this instance, the submitted information must be released under section 552.022(a)(1) unless it is expressly confidential under other law or excepted from disclosure under section 552.108. Section 552.103 is a discretionary exception under the Public Information Act (the "Act") and does not constitute "other law" for purposes of section 552.022. See Dallas Area Rapid Transit v. Dallas Morning News, 4 S.W.3d 469, 475-76 (Tex. App.—Dallas 1999, no pet.) (stating that governmental body may waive section 552.103). Thus the city may not withhold the submitted information under section 552.103 of the Government Code. As the city claims no other exceptions for the submitted information, and it is not otherwise confidential by law, it must be released.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, upon receiving this ruling, the governmental body will either release the public records promptly pursuant to section 552.221(a) of the Government Code or file a lawsuit challenging this ruling pursuant to section 552.324 of the Government Code. If the governmental body fails to do one of these things, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Tex. Dep't of Pub. Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Texas Building and Procurement Commission at (512) 475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. We note that a third party may challenge this ruling by filing suit seeking to withhold information from à requestor. Gov't Code § 552.325. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,

Laurent Kleine

Lauren E. Kleine Assistant Attorney General Open Records Division

LEK/jev

Ref: ID# 219774

Enc. Submitted documents

c: Mr. Grady R. Thompson McWilliams & Thompson P.O. Box 844 McKinney, Texas 75069-0844 (w/o enclosures)